March 12, 2004

The Honorable Robert B. Zoellick United States Trade Representative 600 17<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20508

Dear Ambassador Zoellick:

Pursuant to Section 2104 (e) of the Trade Act of 2002 and Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, I am pleased to transmit the report of the Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products on the U.S.-Central America Free Trade Agreement reflecting majority and additional advisory opinions on the proposed Agreement.

Sincerely,

James R. Hoben, Chair Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee for Trade in Animals and Animal Products The U.S.-Central America Free Trade Agreement (FTA)

Report of the Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products

March 2004

March 12, 2004

The Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products

### Advisory Committee Report to the President, the Congress and the United States Trade Representative on the U.S.-Central America Free Trade Agreement

## I. Purpose of the Committee Report

Section 2104 (e) of the Trade Act of 2002 requires that advisory committees provide the President, the U.S. Trade Representative, and Congress with reports required under Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, not later than 30 days after the President notifies Congress of his intent to enter into an agreement.

Under Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the report of the Advisory Committee for Trade Policy and Negotiations and each appropriate policy advisory committee must include an advisory opinion as to whether and to what extent the agreement promotes the economic interests of the United States and achieves the applicable overall and principle negotiating objectives set forth in the Trade Act of 2002.

The report of the appropriate sectoral or functional committee must also include an advisory opinion as to whether the agreement provides for equity and reciprocity within the sectoral or functional area.

Pursuant to these requirements, the Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products hereby submits the following report.

### II. Executive Summary of Committee Report

Please refer to Section V of the report below

### III. <u>Brief Description of the Mandate of the Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee</u> (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products

The advisory committee is authorized by Sections 135 (c)(1) and (2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (Pub. L. No. 93-618), as amended, and is intended to assure that representative elements of the private sector have an opportunity to make known their views to the U.S. Government on trade and trade policy matters. The committee provides a formal mechanism through which the U.S. Government may seek advice and information. The continuance of the committee is in the public interest in connection with the work of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative. This advisory committee provides valuable private sector input.

### IV. <u>Negotiating Objectives and Priorities of the Agricultural Technical Advisory</u> <u>Committee (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products</u>

The Committee as it is currently constituted was not formed when negotiations began with the Central American countries. Therefore, the comments below, in Section V, reflect the priorities and objectives of the current membership of the Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee for Trade in Animals and Animal Products.

## V. <u>Advisory Committee Opinion on Agreement</u>

The Agricultural Technical Advisory Committee (ATAC) for Trade in Animals and Animal Products provides the following comments on the U.S.-Central America Free Trade Agreement (FTA). Views on the CAFTA have been segregated in line with the animal and animal product sectors represented on the Committee:

### Poultry

The CAFTA is a very important achievement and should be approved. As a result of this agreement, U.S poultry producers and exporters will have a much greater opportunity to expand markets in Central America.

## Dairy

The CAFTA is an accord in which the U.S. dairy industry will gain specific benefits. As a result of this agreement, U.S. dairy will have new opportunities to expand exports of U.S. dairy products in all of the Central American countries.

The U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) is to be commended for securing market access for milk products and for phasing out tariffs for all dairy products over the next 20 years. We believe this agreement will further promote reciprocal trade within the hemisphere.

The U.S. dairy industry is committed to fair and balanced trade and is gratified by this important step in expanding U.S. dairy exports.

## Pork and Majority Beef Opinion

The U.S. Trade Representative is to be commended for immediately securing market access for U.S. high quality beef cuts (beef grading "prime" and "choice") and for phasing out tariffs and tariff-rate quotas (TRQs) for other beef and pork over a 15-year period. The agreement will provide greater opportunity for U.S. beef and beef variety meat exports to CAFTA nations.

Pork producers are also supportive of the CAFTA. It improves access and over time reduces tariffs. Provisions within the agreement will provide greater opportunity for U.S. pork and pork variety meat exports to CAFTA nations.

#### Minority Beef Opinion

Family live cattle producers number over one million business enterprises spread across the nation, doing business in every state of the Union and raising 94.9 million head of cattle. The CAFTA countries have a total beef cow herd of 10.5 million head. The CAFTA countries enjoy a cost of production of approximately 25 percent of the U.S. cost of production for similar cattle. The general rule is that a 1 percent increase in supply results in a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  - 2 percent decline in live cattle prices at the ranch. It is in this context that the U.S. live cattle industry views CAFTA as contributing to a downward challenge to the future of our industry. The model set forth in this agreement may lead to economic hardship on the industry and large portions of rural America

The beef cattle sector is troubled that there does not appear to be sufficient recognition of the special needs of cattle and beef trade in the CAFTA agreement. Just as important, there appear to be no special rules to deal with rapid declines in cattle prices or rapid increases in import volumes. The live cattle industry had proposed a specific "snap-back" provision based on price that would have dealt with this eventuality.

#### Inspection Issues

Prior to Congressional approval of the CAFTA, it is critical that all the nations within CAFTA approve the importation of meat and poultry from all FSIS inspected and approved facilities. The U.S. meat and poultry sectors would welcome a team from the CAFTA nations to inspect representative U.S. facilities to assure the U.S. system is operating in an appropriate manner and protects human health and safety.

### **TRQ** Administration

Administration and transparency of TRQs on all species remains a concern. Without proper administration, TRQs can become a non-tariff trade barrier that limits U.S. meat and poultry exports. USTR and USDA are encouraged to closely monitor how the various governments operate their tariff rate quotas to assure they do not become barriers.

#### Conclusion

Our trade advisory committee views non-tariff trade barriers as major hurdles that need to be resolved. Members of the committee remain concerned in general about the implementation and enforcement provisions within Free Trade Agreements. It is critical that all CAFTA countries accept meat and poultry from all FSIS inspected and approved facilities.

Our trade advisory committee is grateful for the access that it had to the negotiators of the CAFTA. Given that FTA's are negotiated for the benefit of all parties to the agreement, this Committee supports the overall results of this agreement

# VI. <u>Membership of Committee</u>

Name	Organization	City/State
Jaime Castaneda	National Milk Producers	Arlington, VA
	Federation	
Tom Cook	National Renderers	Alexandria, VA
	Association, Inc.	
<b>Gregory Doud</b>	National Cattleman's Beef	Washington, DC
	Association	
Richard Ellinghuysen	<b>Producers Livestock</b>	Omaha, NE
	<b>Marketing Association</b>	
Richard Fritz	<b>U.S. Meat Export</b>	Denver, CO
	Federation	
John Hardin	John Hardin Farms	Danville, IN
Dana Hauck	Pike Trail Cattle Co., Inc.	Delphos, KS
James Hoben	Interra International, Inc.	Atlanta, GA
John Hogan	John Hogan, Attorney	Washington, DC
Gregory Ibach	Nebraska Department of	Lincoln, NE
	Agriculture	
John Lincoln	Linholm Farm and New	Bloomfield, NY
	York Farm Bureau	
Thomas May	Trugman-Nash, Inc.	New York, NY
Dennis McDonald	<b>Open Spear Ranch</b>	Melville, MT
David Meeker	<b>Federation of Animal</b>	Arlington, VA
	Science Societies	
Daniel Meyer	American Dairy Products	Elmhurst, IL
	Institute	
Toby Moore	<b>USA Poultry and Egg</b>	Stone Mountain, GA
	Export Council	
Michael Mullins	Cargill, Inc.	Washington, DC
James Peterson	Jim Peterson Ranch	Buffalo, MT
John Reddington	American Meat Institute	Arlington, VA
William Roenigk	National Chicken Council	Washington, DC
<b>Donald Schriver</b>	<b>Dairy Farmers of America</b>	Kansas City, MO
Jane Shey	Shey & Associates	Annapolis, MD
Ray Souza	Mel-Delin Dairy	Turlock, CA
Thomas Suber	U.S. Dairy Export Council	Arlington, VA
Jeffrey Swain	BC Natural Foods	Evergreen, CO
James Tillison	Alliance of Western Milk	Sacramento, CA
	Producers	
Gene Wiese	Wiese & Sons	Manning, IA
Caren Anne Wilcox	Caren Wilcox and	Washington, DC
	Associates	
Dennis Wolff	Pen-Col Farms	Millville, PA
<b>Robert Yonkers</b>	National Cheese Institute	Washington, D.C.